

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/936,794	11/13/2001	Gerard Howard Davies	ACO2694P1US	9499	
75	90 01/30/2003				
Joan M McGillycuddy			EXAMINER		
Akzo Nobel Inc Intellectual Property Department 7 Livingstone Avenue			BARR, MICHAEL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408			ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER	
		1762			
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003		
			17		
			0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS-10			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,794	DAVIES ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Michael Barr	1762			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the	h correspond nc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	0000				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 J</u>	<del> </del>				
<i>,</i>	is action is non-final.	4-4			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	and add to use days 05 11 0 0 0 0 44	10(-) (-1) (0			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
<ul><li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li><li>3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li></ul>					
application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro 15) $\square$ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/936,794

Art Unit: 1762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments and amendments, filed 1/21/03, have been fully considered and reviewed by the examiner. In light of the arguments and the submitted abstract, the objection to the specification and rejections to the claims under 35 USC 112 have been withdrawn by the examiner. The examiner acknowledges the addition of Claims 15-17. Claims 1-17 are pending.

The applicant argues that the Sano reference does not teach a silica sol. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Sano teaches that the sol contains a significant amount of silica, which would meet the claimed silica sol limitations (see Col. 4, lines 32-68).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 7-8, 10, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sano et al.

Sano et al. is applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 5 of the previous office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/936,794 Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3, 9, and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano et al.

Sano et al. is applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 7 of the previous office action.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Plueddemann.

Sano et al. and Plueddemann are applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 8 of the previous office action.

7. Claims 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sano et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of van der Kolk et al.

Sano et al. and van der Kolk et al. are applied here for the same reasons as given above and in paragraph 9 of the previous office action.

#### Conclusion ·

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/936,794

Art Unit: 1762

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Barr whose telephone number is 703-305-7919. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 or 703-305-5408 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Michael Barr Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 1762

**MB** 

January 28, 2003